

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/721,820 | 11/24/2003 | Todd V. Swanson | SWANS.0003P | 6259 |
| 32856 759 | 90 09/19/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| WEIDE & MILLER, LTD. 7251 W. LAKE MEAD BLVD. SUITE 530 LAS VEGAS, NV 89128 | | | PHILOGENE, PEDRO | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3733 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| c | \sim |
|---|--------|
| | N |
| | V |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/721,820 | SWANSON, TODD V. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Pedro Philogene | 3733 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No | ovember 2003 | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| <u></u> | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. | from consideration | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | from consideration. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | | d in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ` '' | _ | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | or the certified copies not receive | 0. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Markey (1) | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/4/04. | 6) | | | | |

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: FIGS. 1A, 2-8 relating to claims 1-8.

FIGS.1B-C, 9-13, relating to claims 9-12.

The species are independent or distinct because inventions of species I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctiveness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct. In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a guide and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 3733

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with Scott Weide on 9/12/06 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of species I, claims1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/721,820

Art Unit: 3733

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 26-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,529. Aithough the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is clear that all the elements of claims 1-8 are to be found in claims 26-32. The difference between claims 1-8 of the application and claims 26-32 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include many more elements and are thus much more specific. Thus the invention of claims 26-32 of the patent is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 31. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See in re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993) Since, claims 1-8 are anticipated by claims 26-32 of the patent, they are not patentably distinct from claims 26-32.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

| 6,406,477 | 6-2002 | Fujiwara |
|-----------|---------|----------|
| 5,454,813 | 10-1995 | Lawes |

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,820

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene September 13, 2006

PEDRO PHILOGENE